arXiv:1605.08305v1 [math.AT] 26 May 2016

SPACES OF DIRECTED PATHS ON PRE-CUBICAL SETS

KRZYSZTOF ZIEMIAŃSKI

Abstract. The spaces of directed paths on the geometric realizations of pre-cubical sets, called also $\square$–sets, can be interpreted as the spaces of possible executions of Higher Dimensional Automata, which are models for concurrent computations. In this paper we construct, for a sufficiently good pre-cubical set K, a CW-complex $W(K)_v^w$ that is homotopy equivalent to the space of directed paths between given vertices v, w of K. This construction is functorial with respect to K, and minimal among all functorial constructions. Furthermore, explicit formulas for incidence numbers of the cells of $W(K)_v^w$ are provided.

1. Introduction

In recent years, much effort was made to understand spaces of directed paths on d-spaces. Particularly interesting examples of d-spaces are geometric realizations of pre-cubical sets [2], thanks their to applications in concurrency — their directed path spaces can be interpreted as the executions spaces of Higher Dimensional Automata [5]. Raussen [6] proved that such spaces, under certain conditions, have the homotopy types of CW-complexes. In this paper we construct a combinatorial model of the space of directed paths between fixed two vertices of a pre-cubical set, assuming it satisfies a certain mild condition, i.e., its non-looping length covering of proper (cf. 1.3, 1.4).

A pre-cubical set K, called also a $\square$–set, is a sequence of disjoints sets $K[n]$, for $n \geq 0$, equipped with *face maps* $d_i^\varepsilon : K[n] \to K[n-1]$, for $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$ and $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, that satisfy pre-cubical relations; namely, $d_i^\varepsilon d_j^\eta = d_{j-1}^\eta d_i^\varepsilon$ for $i < j$. *A $\square$-map* $f : K \to K'$ between $\square$-sets is a sequence of maps $f[n] : K[n] \to K[n']$ that commute with the face maps. Elements of $K[n]$ will be called *n-cubes* of K; in particular 0-cubes will be called *vertices*. A *bi-pointed* $\square$–set is a triple (K, v, w), where K is a $\square$–set, and $v, w \in K[0]$ are its vertices. Let $\square\mathbf{Set}$ and $\square\mathbf{Set}_*^*$ denote the category of $\square$–sets and $\square$–maps and the category of bi-pointed $\square$–sets and $\square$–maps preserving the distinguished vertices, respectively.

Let us introduce a notation for arbitrary compositions of face maps. For a function $f : \{1,\ldots,n\} \to \{0,1,*\}$ such that $|f^{-1}(*)| = m$, define a map $d_f : K[n] \to K[m]$ by

$$d_f = d_1^{f(1)} d_2^{f(2)} \ldots d_n^{f(n)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where d_i^* is, by convention, the identity map; we will also write $d_{f^{-1}(0),f^{-1}(1)}$ for d_f. Finally, let $d_A^0 := d_{A,\emptyset}$, $d_A^1 = d_{\emptyset,A}$ and $d^\varepsilon = d^\varepsilon_{\{1,\ldots,n\}} : K[n] \to K[0]$. If $f : \{1,\ldots,n\} \to \{0,1,*\}$, $g : \{1,\ldots,m\} \to \{0,1,*\}$ are functions such that $|f^{-1}(*)| =$

Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02–097 Warszawa, Poland. E-mail: ziemians@mimuw.edu.pl.

m, $|g^{-1}(*)| = k$, then $d_g d_f = d_h$, where

$$h : \{1, \dots, n\} \ni i \mapsto \begin{cases} f(i) & \text{for } i \notin f^{-1}(*) \\ g(\alpha(i)) & \text{for } i \in f^{-1}(*) \end{cases} \in \{0, 1, *\}, \tag{1.2}$$

and $\alpha : f^{-1}(*) \to \{1, \dots, m\}$ as the unique increasing bijection.

The results of this paper apply to finite $\square$–sets that have proper non-looping length coverings. We say that a $\square$-set K is *proper*, if the map

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} K[n] : c \mapsto \{d^0(c), d^1(c)\} \in 2^{K[0]} \tag{1.3}$$

is an injection, i.e., the cubes of K can be distinguished by its extreme vertices. Every proper $\square$-set is non-self-linked in the sense of [2], i.e., for every $c \in K[n]$ and $f, g : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{0, 1, *\}$, the equity $d_f(c) = d_g(c)$ implies that $f = g$. *The non-looping length covering* [8, 5.1] of a $\square$–set K is a $\square$–set $\tilde{K}$ such that $\tilde{K}[n] = K[n] \times \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$d_i^\varepsilon(c, k) = (d_i^\varepsilon(c), k + \varepsilon). \tag{1.4}$$

Clearly, if a $\square$–set is proper, then its non-looping length covering is also proper. Let $\mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}_*^* \subseteq \square\mathbf{Set}_*^*$ be the full subcategory of finite bi-pointed $\square$–sets having the proper non-looping covering.

Definition 1.5. Let K be a $\square$-set and let $v, w \in K[0]$ be its vertices. *A cube chain in K from v to w* is a sequence of cubes $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l)$, where $c_k \in K[n_k]$ and $n_k > 0$, such that

- $d^0(c_1) = v$,
- $d^1(c_l) = w$,
- $d^1(c_i) = d^0(c_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, l-1$.

The sequence $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$ will be called *the type* of a cube chain $\mathbf{c}$, $\dim(\mathbf{c}) = n_1 + \dots + n_l - l$ *the dimension* of $\mathbf{c}$, and $n_1 + \dots + n_l$ *the length* of $\mathbf{c}$. The set of all cube chains in K from v to w will be denoted by $\mathrm{Ch}(K)_v^w$, and the set of cube chains of dimension equal to m (resp. less than m, less or equal to m) by $\mathrm{Ch}^{=m}(K)_v^w$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ch}^{<m}(K)_v^w$, $\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq m}(K)_v^w$).

For a cube chain $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)_v^w$ of type $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$, an integer $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and a subset $A \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n_k\}$ having r elements, where $0 < r < n_k$, define a cube chain

$$d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c}) = (c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, d^0_{\bar{A}}(c_k), d^1_A(c_k), c_{k+1}, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)_v^w, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\bar{A} = \{1, \dots, n_k\} \setminus A$. Let $\leq$ be the partial order on $\mathrm{Ch}(K)$ spanned by all relations having the form $d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c}) \leq \mathbf{c}$ (the relation $\leq$ is antisymmetric since $d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c})$ has more cubes than $\mathbf{c}$).

For a $\square$-set K let $|K|$ denote its geometric realization (3.1) and, for a bi-pointed $\square$–set (K, v, w), let $\vec{P}(K)_v^w$ be the space of directed paths on $|K|$ from v to w (cf. 2.1). Clearly, $(K, v, w) \mapsto \mathrm{Ch}(K)_v^w$ and $(K, v, w) \mapsto \vec{P}(K)_v^w$ are functors from $\square\mathbf{Set}_*^*$ into the categories of posets and topological spaces, respectively.

Let **hTop** be the homotopy category of the category of topological spaces. We prove the following

Theorem 1.7. *The functors*

$$\vec{P} : \mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_* \ni (K, v, w) \mapsto \vec{P}(K)^w_v \in \mathbf{hTop}$$

and

$$|\operatorname{Ch}| : \mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_* \ni (K, v, w) \mapsto |\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v| \in \mathbf{hTop}$$

are naturally equivalent. In other words, for every finite bi-pointed $\square$–set (K, v, w) having the proper non-looping covering, there exists a homotopy equivalence $\varepsilon_{(K,v,w)} : \vec{P}(K)^w_v \to |\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v|$ such that, for every $\square$–map $f : K \to K'$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vec{P}(K)^w_v & \xrightarrow{\alpha \mapsto |f| \circ \alpha} & \vec{P}(K')^{f(w)}_{f(v)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon_{(K,v,w)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon_{(K',f(v),f(w))}} \\ |\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v| & \xrightarrow{Ch(f):\mathbf{c} \mapsto f(\mathbf{c})} & |\operatorname{Ch}(K')^{f(w)}_{f(v)}| \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

Next, the prove that the spaces $\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v$ have a natural CW-structure, which is coarser than the simplicial one. For a poset P and $x \in P$, let $P_{\leq x}$ (resp. $P_{<x}$) be the subposet of P containing all elements that are less or equal (resp. equal) to x.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (K, v, w) be a finite bi-pointed $\square$–set having the proper non-looping covering (i.e., $(K, v, w) \in \mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}$). The space $|\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v|$ is a regular CW-complex with d–dimensional cells having the form $|\operatorname{Ch}_{\leq \mathbf{c}}(K)|$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{=d}(K)^w_v$. Furthermore, for every $\square$–map $f : K \to K'$, the induced map $f_* : |\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v| \to |\operatorname{Ch}(K')^{f(w)}_{f(v)}|$ is cellular.*

We also calculate the incidence numbers between the cells of this CW-complex. In Section 8 we construct, for every $\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{=n}(K)^w_v$, a cycle $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ that represents a generator in the simplicial homology group

$$[g_{\mathbf{c}}] \in H_n(|\operatorname{Ch}_{\leq \mathbf{c}}(K)|^w_v, |\operatorname{Ch}_{<\mathbf{c}}(K)|^w_v), \tag{1.9}$$

As a consequence of 1.8, the group $H_n(|\operatorname{Ch}^{\leq n}(K)^w_v|, |\operatorname{Ch}^{<n}(K)^w_v|)$ is a free group generated by $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{=n}(K)^w_v$.

Theorem 1.10. *Let (K, v, w) be a finite bi-pointed $\square$–set having the proper non-looping covering, and let*

$$\partial_n : H_n(|\operatorname{Ch}^{\leq n}(K)^w_v|, |\operatorname{Ch}^{<n}(K)^w_v|) \to H_{n-1}(|\operatorname{Ch}^{\leq n-1}(K)^w_v|, |\operatorname{Ch}^{<n-1}(K)^w_v|)$$

be the differential in the cellular chain complex of $|\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v|$ (cf. [4, p. 139]). For a cube chain $\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{=n}(K)^w_v$ of type $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$ we have

$$\partial(g_{\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{A \subseteq \{1,\dots,n_k\} :\, |A| = r} (-1)^{n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} + k + r + 1} \operatorname{sgn}(A) g_{d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c})},$$

where

$$\operatorname{sgn}(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i \in A} i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \mod 2, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, the homology of $\vec{P}(K)^w_v$ can be calculated using the formula above.

2. Directed spaces

In this Section, we recall a notion of d-space and introduce complete d-spaces, which are necessary to define the d-structure on the geometric realization of a d-simplicial complex. The following definitions are due to Grandis [3].

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space and let $P(X)$ be its path space, i.e. the space of continuous maps $[0,1] \to X$ with compact-open topology.

- *A d-structure* on X is a subset $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq P(X)$ which contains all constant paths, and is closed with respect to concatenations and non-decreasing reparametrizations.
- *A d-space* is a pair $(X, \mathfrak{D})$, where X is a topological space and $\mathfrak{D}$ is a d-structure on X.
- Let $(X, \mathfrak{D})$, $(X', \mathfrak{D}')$ be d-spaces. A continuous map $f : X \to X'$ is *a d-map* if it preserves d-structure, i.e. $f \circ \alpha \in \mathfrak{D}'$ for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{D}$.
- *A d-homeomorphism* is an invertible d-map.

The category of d-spaces and d-maps is complete and cocomplete. For an arbitrary family of paths $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq P(X)$ there exists the smallest d-structure $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$ on X containing $\mathfrak{S}$; it can be constructed as the intersection of all d-structures containing $\mathfrak{S}$, or by adding to $\mathfrak{S}$ all constant paths and all non-decreasing reparametrizations of concatenations of paths in $\mathfrak{S}$.

The following d-spaces play an important role in this paper:

- *the directed real line* $\vec{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{R}, \text{non-decreasing paths})$,
- *the directed n-cube* $\vec{I}^n = ([0,1]^n, \text{paths with non-decreasing coordinates})$,
- *the directed n-simplex* $\vec{\Delta}^n = (\Delta^n, \vec{P}(\Delta^n))$, where

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ (t_0, \dots, t_n) \in [0,1]^{n+1} : \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_i = 1 \right\}$$

and $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in P(\Delta^n)$ is directed if and only if the functions

$$[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \sum_{i \geq k} \alpha_k(t) \in [0,1]$$

are non-decreasing for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let us recall the definition of complete d-spaces introduced in [13] (called there "good" d-spaces).

Definition 2.2. Let $(X, \mathfrak{D})$ be a d-space. A path $\alpha : [0,1] \to X$ is *almost directed* if for every open subset $U \subseteq X$ and every $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ such that $\alpha([s,t]) \subseteq U$, there exists a directed path $\beta \in \vec{P}(X)$ such that $\beta(0) = \alpha(s)$, $\beta(1) = \alpha(t)$ and $\beta([0,1]) \subseteq U$.

The family of all almost directed paths $\mathfrak{D}_c$ on a d-space $(X, \mathfrak{D})$ is a d-structure on X. The d-space $(X, \mathfrak{D}_c)$ will be called *the completion* of $(X, \mathfrak{D})$; if a given d-space is equal to its completion, it is called *complete*. If $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq P(X)$ is an arbitrary family of paths, then its *completion* $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_c$ is the smallest complete d-structure containing $\mathfrak{S}$. Here follows the criterion which allows to verify whether a continuous map between complete d-spaces is a d-map.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f : X \to X'$ be a continuous map and let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq P(X)$, $\mathfrak{S}' \subseteq P(X')$ be families of paths. Assume that $f \circ \alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}'}_c$ for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then the map $f : (X, \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_c) \to (Y, \overline{\mathfrak{S}'}_c)$ is a d-map.*

Proof. Fix a path $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_c$, $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ and an open subset $U \subseteq Y$ such that $f(\alpha([s,t]) \subseteq U$. Since α is almost directed with respect to $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$, there exists a path $\beta \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}$ such that $\beta(0) = \alpha(s)$, $\beta(1) = \alpha(t)$ and $\beta([0,1]) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. The path β is either constant, or it is a non-decreasing reparametrization of concatenation of paths in $\mathfrak{S}$. Thus, by assumption, $f \circ \beta$ is either constant, or it is an non-decreasing reparametrization of concatenation of paths in $\mathfrak{S}'$. This implies that $f \circ \alpha$ is almost directed with respect to $\overline{\mathfrak{S}'}$; therefore $f \circ \alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_c$. □

For every $n \geq 0$, the directed n-cube and the directed n-simplex are complete d-spaces. The d-structure on $\vec{I}^n$ is generated by a family of paths

$$\{[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) : \ k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \ x_i \in [0,1]\} \tag{2.4}$$

and the d-structure on $\vec{\Delta}^n$ by

$$\{[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_{k-2}, (1-t)c, tc, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) : \\ k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \ c, x_i \in [0,1], \ c + \sum_{i \neq k-1,k} x_i = 1\}. \tag{2.5}$$

If it is clear which d-structure we consider on a given space X, we will denote it by $\vec{P}(X)$; the elements of $\vec{P}(X)$ will be called *directed paths* or *d-paths*. Given two points $x, y \in X$, let

$$\vec{P}(X)^y_x := \{\alpha \in \vec{P}(X) : \ \alpha(0) = x, \ \alpha(1) = y\} \tag{2.6}$$

be the space of directed paths from x to y. We extend a notion of directedness for paths defined on arbitrary closed intervals; a path $[a,b] \to X$ is called directed if its linear reparametrization $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \alpha(a + t(b-a)) \in X$ is directed. The space of such paths will be denoted by $\vec{P}_{[a,b]}(X)$.

3. □-sets

In this Section we introduce □-sets with height function, and prove that their geometric realizations are complete d-spaces. For a more detailed discussion on □–sets see also [2].

The geometric realization of a □-set K is a d-space

$$|K| = \coprod_{n \geq 0} K[n] \times \vec{I}^n / (d^\varepsilon_i(c), x) \sim (c, \delta^\varepsilon_i(x)), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\delta^\varepsilon_i(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) = (s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, \varepsilon, s_i, \dots, s_{n-1})$. A path $\alpha \in P(|K|)$ is directed if there exist numbers $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_l = 1$, cubes $c_i \in K[n_i]$ and directed paths $\beta_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \to \vec{I}^{n_i}$ such that $\alpha(t) = (c_i, \beta_i(t))$ for $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$. For every $x \in |K|$ there exists a unique cube $\mathrm{supp}(x)$ of K, called *the support of* x, such that $x = (\mathrm{supp}(x), (t_1, \dots, t_n))$, and $t_i \neq 0, 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For shortness, we will further write $\vec{P}(K)$ instead of $\vec{P}(|K|)$.

Definition 3.2. *A height function* on a □-set K is a function $h : \coprod_{n \geq 0} K[n] \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(d^\varepsilon_i(c)) = h(c) + \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq 0$, $c \in K[n]$, $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

A height function h on K determines a d-map

$$h : |K| \ni (c, (s_1, \dots, s_n)) \mapsto h(c) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \in \vec{\mathbb{R}} \tag{3.3}$$

which will be also called a height function. If $\alpha \in \vec{P}(K)$ is a directed path, then $l_1(\alpha) = h(\alpha(1)) - h(\alpha(0))$, where l_1 is the L_1-arc length of Raussen [8, 5.1]. In particular, if $\alpha \in \vec{P}(K)$ is not constant on any interval, then $h \circ \alpha$ is a strictly increasing function, which implies that all directed loops on a $\square$-set with height function are constant.

Definition 3.4. Let K be a $\square$-set with height function. A d-path $\alpha : [a, b] \to |K|$ is *natural* if $h(\alpha(t)) = t$ for every $t \in [a, b]$. For $v, w \in K[0]$, let $\vec{N}(K)^w_v \subseteq \vec{P}_{[h(v),h(w)]}(|K|)^w_v$ be the space of natural paths from v to w.

The space $\vec{N}(K)^w_v$ can be regarded as a subspace $\vec{P}(K)^w_v$ via the reparametrizing inclusion

$$\vec{N}(K)^w_v \ni \alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ (t \mapsto a + (b - a)t) \in \vec{P}(K)^w_v. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, by [6, 2.15] there exists *a naturalization map*

$$nat : \vec{P}(K)^w_v \to \vec{N}(K)^w_v, \tag{3.6}$$

which is a left inverse of (3.5). As shown in [6], these maps are mutually inverse homotopy equivalences.

We conclude this Section with the following

Proposition 3.7. *If K is a $\square$-set with height function, then its geometric realization $|K|$ is a complete d-space.*

Proof. Let $\preceq$ be the minimal reflexive and transitive relation on $\coprod_{n\geq 0} K[n]$ such that $d^0_i(c) \preceq c$ and $c \preceq d^1_i(c)$ for $n \geq 0$, $c \in K[n]$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The relation $\preceq$ is a partial order since, for $c \neq c'$, $c \preceq c'$ implies that either $h(c) < h(c')$, or $h(c) = h(c')$ and $\dim(c) < \dim(c')$. Moreover, immediately from definition follows that $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha(0)) \preceq \mathrm{supp}(\alpha(1))$ for every directed path α on $|K|$.

Let α be an almost directed path in $|K|$ and let, for an arbitrary cube c of K, $J_c \subseteq [0, 1]$ be the closure of the set $\{s \in [0, 1] : \ \mathrm{supp}(\alpha(s)) = c\}$. For $s < s'$ there exists a directed path from $\alpha(s)$ to $\alpha(s')$; therefore $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha(s)) \preceq \mathrm{supp}(\alpha(s'))$. This implies that all the sets J_c are either closed intervals or empty. Thus α is a concatenation of almost directed paths all of which lie in a single cube. Every such path is directed, then so is α. $\square$

4. d-simplicial complexes

In this Section, we recall the definition of d-simplicial complex and prove that every proper $\square$-set with height function has a d-simplicial triangulation.

Definition 4.1 ([12])**.** *A d-simplicial complex M* is a triple $(V_M, S_M, \leq_M)$, where (V_M, S_M) is a simplicial complex and $\leq_M$ is a binary relation of V_M such that

- for every simplex $A \in S_M$, the restriction $(\leq_M)|_A$ is a total order on A,
- if $v \leq_M v'$, then $\{v, v'\} \in S_M$.

A d-simplicial map $f : M \to M'$ is a simplicial map such that $v \leq_M w$ implies $f(v) \leq_{M'} f(w)$ for $v, w \in V_M$. *A geometric realization* of a d-simplicial complex M is the space

$$|M| = \left\{ \sum_{v \in V_M} t_v v : \ t_v \geq 0, \ \sum_{v \in V_M} t_v = 1, \ \{v : \ t_v > 0\} \in S_M \right\}$$

with the maximal topology and the minimal complete d-structure such that the inclusions of simplices

$$\vec{\Delta}^n \ni (t_0, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_i v_i \in |M| \tag{4.2}$$

are d-maps for all $\{v_0 < \dots < v_n\} \in S_M$.

Remark. The completion of d-structure is necessary to obtain proper d-structures on the triangulations of $\square$-sets. For example, the directed square $\vec{\square}^2$ admits a triangulation that is a d-simplicial complex with vertices (i, j), $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, maximal simplices $((0,0) < (0,1) < (1,1))$ and $((0,0) < (1,0) < (1,1))$, and $(i, j) \leq (i', j')$ if and only if $i \leq i'$, $j \leq j'$. However, the staircase path on the picture below

(4.3)



is not directed with respect to the (non-completed) d-structure induced by the inclusions of simplices, though it is directed with respect to the d-structure of the square.

Example 4.4. If P is a poset, then the nerve of P, denoted by $\mathcal{N}P$, is defined by $V_{\mathcal{N}P} = P$, $\leq_{\mathcal{N}P} = \leq_P$ and $A \subseteq P$ is a simplex of $\mathcal{N}P$ if and only if A is a totally ordered subset.

Remark. d-simplicial complexes can be regarded as special cases of simplicial sets. For a d-simplicial complex M one can define a simplicial set whose n-simplices are functions $\sigma : \{0, \dots, n\} \to V_M$ such that $\sigma(i) \leq_M \sigma(j)$ for every $i \leq j$ and the image of σ is a simplex of M.

For the rest of the Section we assume that K is a proper $\square$-set. We will construct a triangulation of K, i.e., a d-simplicial complex Tr_K such that $|K|$ and Tr_K are d-homeomorphic. Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} := \{\{d_{f_0}(c), \dots, d_{f_k}(c)\} \subseteq K[0] : \\ c \in K[n], \ f_0 < f_1 < \dots < f_k, \ f_i : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{0, 1\}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $f_j < f_k$ means that $f_j \neq f_k$ and $f_j(i) \leq f_k(i)$ for all i. Introduce a binary relation $\leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}$ on $K[0]$ by

$$v \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} v' \Leftrightarrow \exists_{c\in K[n]}\exists_{f\leq f':\{1,\dots,n\}\to\{0,1\}} d_f(c) = v,\ d_{f'}(c) = v'. \tag{4.6}$$

Every element $A = \{d_{f_0}(c) < \cdots < \ldots, d_{f_k}(c)\}$, $c \in K[n]$ of $S_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}$ can be written as

$$A = \{d_{f_0\circ e}(c') < \cdots < \ldots, d_{f_k\circ e}(c')\},$$

where $c' = d_{f_k^{-1}(0),f_0^{-1}(1)}(c)$ and

$$e : \{1,\dots,n - |f_k^{-1}(0)| - |f_0^{-1}(1)|\} \to \{1,\dots,n\} \setminus (f_k^{-1}(0) \cup f_0^{-1}(1))$$

is an increasing bijection. Thus, A has a unique presentation such that $f_0 \equiv 0$ and $f_1 \equiv 1$. By the properness of K, the cube c is determined by its extreme vertices $d^0(c) = d_{f_0}(c)$ and $d^1(c) = d_{f_k}(c)$ and, for every vertex v of c, there exists a unique f such that $v = d_f(c)$. Such a presentation of a simplex of $\mathrm{Tr}(K)$ will be called *canonical*.

Proposition 4.7. *If K is a proper $\square$-set, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(K) = (V_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} := K[0], S_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}, \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)})$$

is a d-simplicial complex.

Proof. It follows immediately from definition that $\mathrm{Tr}(K)$ is a simplicial complex, and that $v \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} v'$ implies $\{v, v'\} \in S_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}$. It remains to prove that, for every $A \in S_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}$, the restriction $\leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}|_A$ is a total order. We have

$$A = \{v_0 = d_{f_0}c \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} v_1 = d_{f_1}c \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} \cdots \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} v_k = d_{f_k}c\}$$

for some $n \geq 0$, $c \in K[n]$ and $f_0 < \cdots < f_k$. Since K is proper, the vertices $v_0, \dots, v_k$ are all different. Clearly $\leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)}|_A$ contains a total order. Assume that $v_j \leq_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} v_i$ for $i < j$. Then there exists $c' \in K[n']$ and $f'_0, f'_1 : \{1,\dots,n'\} \to \{0,1\}$, $f'_0 < f'_1$, such that $d_{f'_0}c' = v_j$ and $d_{f'_1}c' = v_i$. We have

$$d^0(d_{f_j^{-1}(0),f_i^{-1}(1)}c) = v_i \neq v_j = d^1(d_{f_j^{-1}(0),f_i^{-1}(1)}c),$$
$$d^0(d_{(f'_1)^{-1}(0),(f'_0)^{-1}(1)}c') = v_j \neq v_i = d^1(d_{(f'_1)^{-1}(0),(f'_0)^{-1}(1)}c'),$$

then $d_{f_j^{-1}(0),f_i^{-1}(1)}c$ and $d_{(f'_1)^{-1}(0),(f'_0)^{-1}(1)}c'$ are two different cubes with the same sets of extreme vertices, which contradicts the properness of K. □

The d-simplicial complex $\mathrm{Tr}(K)$ will be called *the triangulation* of K.

Our next goal is to construct a d-homeomorphism between the geometric realization of K and the geometric realization of its triangulation. Let A be a simplex of $\mathrm{Tr}(K)$ and let $(d_{f_0}(c), \dots, d_{f_k}(c))$, $c \in K[n]$ be its unique presentation such that $f_0 \equiv 0$, $f_k \equiv 1$. Define a continuous map

$$F_A : |A| \ni \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_i d_{f_i}(c) \mapsto \left(c, \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_i f_i\right) \in |K|, \tag{4.8}$$

where functions $f : \{1,\dots,n\} \to [0,1]$ are regarded as points $(f(1), \dots, f(n)) \in \vec{I}^n$.

Proposition 4.9. *If $x \in |A| \cap |A'|$, then $F_A(x) = F_{A'}(x)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to check this for

$$A' = (d_{f_0}(c), \dots, d_{f_k}(c)),$$
$$A = (d_{f_0}(c), \dots, d_{f_{j-1}}(c), d_{f_{j+1}}(c), \dots, d_{f_k}(c)),$$

$f_0 \equiv 0$, $f_1 \equiv 1$. If $j \neq 0, k$, then the preceding presentation is canonical and the equation $F_A = F_{A'}|_{|A|}$ is clearly satisfied. For $j = 0$ the canonical presentation of A is

$$A = (d_{f_1 \circ e}(d^1_{f_0^{-1}(1)}(c)), \dots, d_{f_k \circ e}(d^1_{f_0^{-1}(1)}(c))),$$

where $e : \{1, \dots, n - |f_1^{-1}(1)|\} \to \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus f_1^{-1}(1)$ is an increasing bijection. We have

$$f_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i d_{f_i}(c)\right) = f_A\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i d_{f_i \circ e}(d^1_{f_0^{-1}(1)}(c))\right) = \left(d^1_{f_0^{-1}(1)}(c), \sum_{i=1}^k t_i (f_i \circ e)\right)$$
$$= \left(c, \delta^1_{f_0^{-1}(1)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i (f_i \circ e)\right)\right) = \left(c, \sum_{i=1}^k t_i f_i\right) = f_{A'}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k t_i d_{f_i}(c)\right).$$

The case $j = k$ is similar. □

As a consequence, the maps F_A glue to a continuous map $F_K : |\operatorname{Tr}(K)| \to |K|$.

Proposition 4.10. *F_K is a d-homeomorphism.*

Proof. For $c \in K[n]$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ define a set

$$S_{c,\sigma} := \{(c, (t_1, \dots, t_n)) \in |K| : \ t_{\sigma(1)} \leq t_{\sigma(2)} \leq \dots \leq t_{\sigma(n)}\}$$

and a sequence of functions $f_0^\sigma, \dots, f_n^\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{0, 1\}$ by

$$f_i^\sigma(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \sigma(j) > i \\ 1 & \text{for } \sigma(j) \leq i. \end{cases}$$

Clearly the sets $S_{c,\sigma}$ cover $|K|$. Similarly to the proof of 4.9 we can show that the maps

$$G_{c,\sigma} : S_{c,\sigma} \ni (c, (t_1, \dots, t_n)) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (t_{\sigma(i+1)} - t_{\sigma(i)}) d_{f_i^\sigma}(c) \in |\operatorname{Tr}(K)|,$$

where by convention $t_{\sigma(0)} = 0$ and $t_{\sigma(n+1)} = 1$, glue to the map $G_K : |K| \to |\operatorname{Tr}(K)|$, which is the inverse of F. Thus F_K is a homeomorphism. Next, we need to prove that both F_K and G_K are d-maps. By definition, $\vec{P}(|\operatorname{Tr}(K)|)$ is a complete d-structure generated by paths having the form (cf. 2.5, 4.2)

$$\omega : s \mapsto b(1-s) d_{f_k}(c) + bs d_{f_{k+1}}(c) + \sum_{i \neq k, k+1} t_i d_{f_i}(c)$$

for $n \geq 0$, $c \in K[n]$, $0 \equiv f_0 < \dots < f_n \equiv 1$, $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $b + \sum_{i \neq k, k+1} t_i = 1$. The image

$$F_K(\omega(s)) = F_c(\omega(s)) = b(1-s) f_k + bs f_{k+1} + \sum_{i \neq k, k+1} t_i f_i$$

is a directed path in $|c| \subseteq |K|$ since $f_k < f_{k+1}$. Since $|K|$ is a complete d-space (by 3.7) from 2.3 follows that F_K is a d-map. The similar argument applies for G_K. □

We conclude with some obvious properties of the triangulation.

Proposition 4.11. *Let K be a finite proper $\square$-set with height function.*

(1) F_K maps bijectively vertices of $|\operatorname{Tr}(K)|$ into vertices of $|K|$.
(2) For each simplex $A \in S_{\operatorname{Tr}(K)}$ there exists a cube of K such that $F_K(|A|) \subseteq |c|$.
(3) Tr is a functor from the category of proper $\square$-sets into the category of d-simplicial complexes. Moreover, the maps F_K define a natural equivalence of functors $K \mapsto |K|$ and $K \mapsto |\operatorname{Tr}(K)|$. □

5. Tame paths

Recall [12] that a d-simplicial complex M has no loops if for every sequence of its vertices $v_0 \leq \cdots \leq v_n \leq v_0$ we have $v_0 = \cdots = v_n$. We say that a $\square$-set K has no loops if, for every vertex $v \in K[0]$, every cube chain from v to v is empty. If K admits a height function, then, clearly, it has no loops. Immediately from the construction follows that the triangulation of a proper $\square$–set having no loops also has no loops. In this Section we prove that the space of directed paths between two arbitrary vertices of a proper $\square$-set having no loops is homotopy equivalent to its subspace containing only tame paths, i.e. paths that cross from one cube to another at vertices only. It is a consequence of the results from [12] for d–simplicial complexes.

Definition 5.1. Let M be a d-simplicial complex. A directed path $\alpha \in \vec{P}(M)$ is *tame* if, for each $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$, there exists a simplex $A \in S_M$ such that $\alpha|_{[s,t]} \subseteq |A|$, or a vertex $v \in V_M$ such that $v \in \alpha([s,t])$. Let $\vec{P}_t(M)^w_v \subseteq \vec{P}(M)^w_v$ be the subspace of tame paths from v to w, $v, w \in K[0]$.

Proposition 5.2 ([12, Section 6])**.** *Let M be a finite d-simplicial complex having no loops. There exists a d–map $R_M : |M| \to |M|$ such that*

(1) $R_M(v) = v$ for every vertex $v \in V_M$,
(2) for every $x \in |K|$, there exists a simplex A such that $x, R_M(x) \in |A|$,
(3) for $v, w \in V_M$ and $\alpha \in P(\vec{M})^w_v$ the path $R_M \circ \alpha$ is tame.

The tameness of paths on $\square$–sets is defined quite similarly:

Definition 5.3. Let K be a $\square$-set. A path $\alpha \in \vec{P}(|K|)$ is *tame* if, for each $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$, there exists a cube $c \in K[n]$ such that $\alpha|_{[s,t]} \subseteq |c|$, or a vertex $v \in K[0]$ such that $v \in \alpha([s,t])$.

For the remaining part of this Section we assume that K is a proper $\square$–set with height function.

Proposition 5.4. *If $\alpha \in \vec{P}(\operatorname{Tr}(K))$ is tame (in the simplicial sense), then $F_K \circ \alpha \in \vec{P}(K)$ is also tame (in the cubical sense).*

Proof. It follows immediately from 4.11.(1) and (2). □

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be a space and $f_1, f_2 : X \to \vec{P}(K)^w_v$ continuous maps. Assume that, for every $t \in [0,1]$, there exists a cube c such that $f_1(\alpha(t)), f_2(\alpha(t)) \in |c|$. Then f_1 and f_2 are homotopic.*

Proof. For $c \in K[n]$ the map $|i_c| : \vec{I}^n \ni x \mapsto (c, x) \in |c|$ is a d-homeomorphism, since K is proper. The homotopy between f_1 and f_2 is given by

$$H_s(x)(t) = |i_c|((1-s)|i_c|^{-1}(f_1(\alpha(t)) + s|i_c|^{-1}(f_2(\alpha(t))).$$

It is clear that this is well-defined (since convex combinations of d-paths in $\vec{I}^n$ are d-paths), continuous and does not depend on the choices of cubes c for respective points. □

Remark. If K has height function, then convex combinations of natural paths are natural, so the analogue of 5.5 holds also for maps into $\vec{N}(K)^w_v$.

The main result of this Section is the following

Theorem 5.6. *Assume that K is a finite proper $\square$–set with height function. For $v, w \in K[0]$, the inclusion*

$$\vec{P}_t(K)^w_v \subseteq \vec{P}(K)^w_v$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. The triangulation $\mathrm{Tr}(K)$ is finite and has no loops. Let $R_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} : |\mathrm{Tr}(K)| \to |\mathrm{Tr}(K)|$ be a map satisfying the conditions of 5.2. For $\alpha \in \vec{P}(K)^w_v$ the path $F_K \circ R_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} \circ (F_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)})^{-1} \circ \alpha$ is a d–path with endpoints v, w (5.2.(1)) and is tame (5.2.(3), 5.4). Therefore the map

$$\vec{P}(K)^w_v \ni \alpha \mapsto F_K \circ R_{\mathrm{Tr}(K)} \circ (F_K)^{-1} \circ \alpha \in \vec{P}_t(\mathrm{Tr}(K))^w_v$$

is well-defined and is a homotopy inverse of the inclusion $\vec{P}_t(K)^w_v \subseteq \vec{P}(K)^w_v$. The latter statement follows from 5.2.(2), 5.5 and 4.11.(2). □

6. Cube chain cover

Let K be a finite proper $\square$-set with height function and let $v, w \in K[0]$. Let

$$\vec{N}_t(K)^w_v = (nat)^{-1}(\vec{P}_t(K)^w_v),$$

(cf. 3.6) denote the space of natural tame paths; thanks to 5.6 and [6, 2.16] the spaces $\vec{N}_t(K)^w_v$, $\vec{N}(K)^w_v$, $\vec{P}_t(K)^w_v$ and $\vec{P}(K)^w_v$ are all homotopy equivalent via normalization maps and inclusions. In this Section we construct a good closed cover of $\vec{N}_t(K)^w_v$ indexed with the poset of cube chains from v to w.

Recall that a cube chain from v to w is a sequence of cubes $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l)$ such that $d^0(c_1) = v$, $d^1(c_l) = w$ and $d^1(c_i) = d^0(c_{i-1})$ for $1 \leq i < l$. Recall also that

$$d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c}) = (c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, d^0_{\bar{A}}(c_k), d^1_A(c_k), c_{k+1}, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v. \tag{6.1}$$

for $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $A \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$, $A \cup \bar{A} = \{1, \dots, \dim(c_k)\}$ and that $\leq$ is the transitive-reflexive closure of relations $d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c}) \leq \mathbf{c}$ on $\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$. For a cube chain $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l)$ we will write $l^{\mathbf{c}} = l$, $n^{\mathbf{c}}_i = \dim(c_i)$, $v^{\mathbf{c}}_i = d^0(c_{i+1}) = d^1(c_i)$ and $b^{\mathbf{c}}_k = n^{\mathbf{c}}_1 + \cdots + n^{\mathbf{c}}_k$. The upper index $\mathbf{c}$ will be omitted if it does not lead to confusion.

Definition 6.2. We say that a path $\alpha \in \vec{N}_t(K)^w_v$ *lies in a cube chain* $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$ if

$$\alpha([b^{\mathbf{c}}_{i-1}, b^{\mathbf{c}}_i]) \subseteq |c_i|$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Let $\vec{N}(K, \mathbf{c}) \subseteq \vec{N}_t(K)$ be the subspace of tame paths lying in $\mathbf{c}$.

Notice that $\alpha(b^{\mathbf{c}}_i) = v^{\mathbf{c}}_i$ for $\alpha \in \vec{N}(K, \mathbf{c})$, $i \in \{0, \dots, l^{\mathbf{c}}\}$.

For $v, w, z \in K[0]$ and cube chains $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$, $\mathbf{c}' = (c'_1, \dots, c'_{l'}) \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^z_w$ we define *the concatenation* $\mathbf{c} * \mathbf{c}' \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^z_v$ of $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{c}'$ by

$$\mathbf{c} * \mathbf{c}' = (c_1, \dots, c_l, c'_1, \dots, c'_{l'}). \tag{6.3}$$

The concatenation of paths induces a homeomorphism

$$\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\times\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')\subseteq\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}*\mathbf{c}'). \tag{6.4}$$

Proposition 6.5. *Let $v,w\in K[0]$, $\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}'\in\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$.*
(1) $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})$ is a contractible closed subspace of $\vec{N}_t(K)^w_v$.
(2) The intersection $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\cap\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')$ is either empty or there exists a cube chain $\mathbf{c}\cap\mathbf{c}'\in\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$ such that $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}\cap\mathbf{c}')=\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\cap\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')$.
(3) $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\subseteq\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')$ if and only if $\mathbf{c}\leq\mathbf{c}'$.
(4) $\bigcup_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathrm{Ch}(K)}\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})=\vec{N}_t(K)$.

Proof. Assume that $h(v)=0$, $h(w)=n$, $\mathbf{c}=(c_1,\dots,c_l)$, $\mathbf{c}'=(c'_1,\dots,c'_{l'})$.
(1) Let $\gamma\in\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})$ be the diagonal of $\mathbf{c}$, i.e. a path given by

$$\gamma(t)=\left(c_i,\left(\tfrac{t-b^{\mathbf{c}}_{i-1}}{n^{\mathbf{c}}_i},\dots,\tfrac{t-b^{\mathbf{c}}_{i-1}}{n^{\mathbf{c}}_i}\right)\right)$$

for $t\in[b^{\mathbf{c}}_{i-1},b^{\mathbf{c}}_i]$. The identity map of $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})$ and the constant map with value γ satisfy the assumptions of 5.5; therefore the space $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})$ is contractible. Closedness of $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})$ is clear.
(2) Assume that $\alpha\in\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\cap\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')$. The proof is by induction with respect to n. If $n=1$, then $\mathbf{c}=(c_1)=(c'_1)=\mathbf{c}'$ since c_1 and c'_1 have the same extreme vertices. Assume that $n>1$ and $n^{\mathbf{c}}_1<n^{\mathbf{c}'}_1$. Since $\alpha(n^{\mathbf{c}}_1)=v^{\mathbf{c}}_1$ and $\alpha([0,n^{\mathbf{c}'}_1])\subseteq|c'_1|$ then $v^{\mathbf{c}}_1$ is a vertex of a cube c'_1, say $v^{\mathbf{c}}_1=d_{A,\bar{A}}(c'_1)$ for $A\cap\bar{A}=\emptyset$, $A\cup\bar{A}=\{1,\dots,n^{\mathbf{c}'}_1\}$. We have $d^0(d^0_A(c'_1))=v=d^0(c_1)$ and $d^1(d^0_A(c'_1))=v^{\mathbf{c}}_1=d^1(c_1)$; by the properness of K this implies $c_1=d^0_A(c'_1)$. Furthermore, $\alpha([n^{\mathbf{c}}_1,n^{\mathbf{c}'}_1])\subseteq|d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1)|$, and then $\alpha|_{[n^{\mathbf{c}}_1,n]}$ lies in a cube chain $(d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_{l'})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\cap\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')&=\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\cap\vec{N}(K,(c_1,d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_n))=\\&\vec{N}(K,(c_1))\times(\vec{N}(K,(c_2,\dots,c_n))\cap\vec{N}(K,(d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_n)))=\\&\vec{N}(K,c_1*((c_2,\dots,c_n)\cap(d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_n)))\end{aligned}$$

since $(c_2,\dots,c_n)\cap(d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_n)$ exists by the inductive assumption. The case $n^{\mathbf{c}}_1=n^{\mathbf{c}'}_1$ is similar, only the term $d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1)$ is dropped (since it is a vertex).
(3) Immediately from the definition, we have $\vec{N}(K,d_{k,A}(\mathbf{c}))\subseteq\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})$. Assume that $\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c})\subseteq\vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}')$; this is equivalent to the equation $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}\cap\mathbf{c}'$. Assume that the statement is true for all pairs of chains having length less than n. Using the argument from the previous point we obtain

$$\mathbf{c}\cap\mathbf{c}'=c_1*((c_2,\dots,c_n)\cap(d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_n))$$

By the inductive assumption, $(c_2,\dots,c_n)\leq(d^1_{\bar{A}}(c'_1),c'_2,\dots,c'_n)$ and therefore $\mathbf{c}\leq\mathbf{c}'$.
(4) Let $\alpha:[0,n]\to|K|$ be a natural tame path from v to w. If $\alpha(t)$ is a vertex then t is an integer. Thus, there is a finite sequence $0=k_0<\dots<k_l=n$ of integers such that $\alpha(k_i)=v_i$ is a vertex, and restrictions $\alpha|_{(k_{i-1},k_i)}$ do not contain vertices in its images. By the tameness of α, for each $i\in\{1,\dots,l\}$ there exists a cube c_i such that $\alpha([k_{i-1},k_i])\subseteq|c_i|$. Obviously v_{i-1} and v_i are vertices of c_i and then there exist unique (by properness) subsets $A,B\subseteq\{1,\dots,\dim(c_i)\}$ such that $d_{A,\bar{A}}(c)=v_{i-1}$, $d_{B,\bar{B}}(c)=v_i$ (where $\bar{A},\bar{B}$ stand for suitable complements). The

segment $\alpha|_{[k_{i-1},k_i]}$ lies in $c'_i := d_{B,\vec{A}}(c_i)$ and $v_{i-1} = d^0(c'_i)$, $v_i = d^1(c'_i)$. Eventually, α lies in a cube chain $(c'_1, \dots, c'_l)$. □

This allows us to prove Theorem 1.7 in the following special case. Let $\mathbf{fph}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$ be the category of finite proper $\square$–sets with height function and $\square$–maps that preserve height functions. For $(K, v, w) \in \mathbf{fph}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$ let $\varepsilon_{(K,v,w)}$ be the composition (6.6)

$$\varepsilon_{(K,v,w)} : \vec{P}(K)^w_v \supseteq \vec{P}_t(K)^w_v \xrightarrow{nat} \vec{N}_t(K)^w_v \xleftarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathbf{c}\in \mathrm{Ch}(K,\mathbf{c})} \vec{N}(K,\mathbf{c}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} |\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v|.$$

All the maps in the sequence and homotopy equivalences. This follows from 5.6 for the left-most inclusion, [6, 2.16] for the *nat* map, and from [10, 4.1] and 6.5 for the remaining two maps. This implies that $\varepsilon_{(K,v,w)}$ is well-defined up to homotopy, and it is a homotopy equivalence. Furthermore, all the maps are functorial with respect to (K, v, w). As a consequence, we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.7. *The maps $\varepsilon_{(K,v,w)}$ define a natural equivalence of functors*

$$\vec{P} \simeq |\operatorname{Ch}| : \mathbf{fph}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_* \to \mathbf{hTop}. \quad \square$$

7. Permutahedra

In this Section we study the posets of faces of products of permutohedra (see [11, p.18]), which play an important role in the description of the posets of cube chains on $\square$–sets. For a positive integer n, the face poset of the $(n-1)$–dimensional permutahedron is isomorphic to the poset of weak strict orderings on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, ordered by inclusion. A weak strict ordering is a reflexive and transitive relation such that any two elements are comparable, though, not necessarily anti-symmetric. Every weak strict ordering $\sqsubseteq$ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ determines the unique surjective function

$$f_{\sqsubseteq} : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, k\}, \tag{7.1}$$

called *the characteristic function* of $\sqsubseteq$, such that $i \sqsubseteq j$ if and only if $f_{\sqsubseteq}(i) \le f_{\sqsubseteq}(j)$. In this Section, we use such functions rather than weak orderings. Throughout the whole Section, n stands for a fixed positive integer.

Definition 7.2. Let O_n be a poset whose elements are surjective functions

$$f : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where $k = k(f)$ is a positive integer, and $f \preceq g$ if and only if there exists a non-decreasing function h such that $g = h \circ f$.

Clearly, the function f is determined uniquely. The poset O_n has the greatest element: the constant function with the value 1, which will be denoted by f_n. Let

$$\partial O_n = O_n \setminus \{f_n\}. \tag{7.3}$$

Proposition 7.4. *The pairs $(|O^\Pi_n|, |\partial O^\Pi_n|)$ and (D^{n-1}, S^{n-2}) are homeomorphic.*

Proof. As mentioned before, the poset O^Π_n is isomorphic to the face lattice of $(n-1)$-dimensional permutohedron P^{n-1} (cf. [11, p.18]), with f_n corresponding to its body (i.e. the single $(n-1)$–dimensional cell) . Then the pair $(|O^\Pi_n|, |\partial O^\Pi_n|)$ is homeomorphic to $(P^{n-1}, \partial P^{n-1})$ and hence to (D^{n-1}, S^{n-2}). □

Let

- $\mathrm{Seq}(n)$ be the set of all sequences of positive integers $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_{l(\mathbf{n})})$ such that $n = n_1 + \dots + n_{l(\mathbf{n})}$,

- $b_k(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, l(\mathbf{n})\}$,
- $f_{\mathbf{n}} : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, l(\mathbf{n})\}$ be the unique non-decreasing surjective function that takes value k for exactly n_k integers, i.e., $f_{\mathbf{n}}(i) = k$ if and only if $b_{k-1}(\mathbf{n}) < i \leq b_k(\mathbf{n})$,
- $O_{\mathbf{n}} := (O_n)_{\preceq f_{\mathbf{n}}} = \{f \in O_n : \ f \preceq f_{\mathbf{n}}\}$.

Notice that $O_{(n)} = O_n$. Whenever it would not lead to confusion, we will write l or b_k instead of $l(\mathbf{n})$ or $b_k(\mathbf{n})$.

Fix $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$. For every $f \in O_{\mathbf{n}}$, there exists a non-decreasing function $h : \{1, \dots, k(f)\} \to \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $h \circ f = f_{\mathbf{n}}$. Thus, for $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, f determines a function

(7.5)
$$f^k : \{1, \dots, n_k\} \xrightarrow{i \mapsto i + b_{k-1}} f_{\mathbf{n}}^{-1}(k) \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbf{n}}} h^{-1}(k) \xrightarrow{j \mapsto j + 1 - \min(h^{-1}(k))} \{1, \dots, r_k\},$$

which is an element of O_{n_k}. On the other hand, a sequence

$$(f^k : \{1, \dots, n_k\} \to \{1, \dots, r_k\}) \in O_{n_k},$$

for $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, determines an element $f \in O_{\mathbf{n}}$ such that

$$f(i) = f^k(i - b_{k-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} r_j. \tag{7.6}$$

These constructions give an isomorphism $O_{\mathbf{n}} \cong O_{n_1} \times \cdots \times O_{n_l}$. Thus, $O_{\mathbf{n}}$ is isomorphic to the face lattice of the product of permutahedra of dimensions $n_1 - 1, \dots, n_k - 1$ respectively; as a consequence, there is a homeomorphism

$$(|O_{\mathbf{n}}|, |\partial O_{\mathbf{n}}|) \simeq (D^{n-l}, S^{n-l-1}), \tag{7.7}$$

where $\partial O_{\mathbf{n}} := O_{\mathbf{n}} \setminus \{f_{\mathbf{n}}\}$, which generalizes Proposition 7.4.

The main goal of this Section is to construct a fundamental class of the pair (7.7) in simplicial homology [4, p. 104]; to achieve this, we need to introduce a notation for the simplices of $\mathcal{N}O_n^{\Pi}$. Let

- Σ_n be the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$,
- T_n^d be the set of functions $\tau : \{1, \dots, n-1\} \to \{0, \dots, d+1\}$ such that $\tau^{-1}(j) \neq \emptyset$ for $0 < j \leq d$.

For $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$ define $\tau_{\mathbf{n}} \in T_n^0$ by $\tau_{\mathbf{n}}(i) = f_{\mathbf{n}}(i+1) - f_{\mathbf{n}}(i)$, and for $\tau \in T_n^0$ define $f^\tau \in O_n$ by

$$f^\tau(i) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \tau(i). \tag{7.8}$$

Clearly, $f^{\tau_{\mathbf{n}}} = f_{\mathbf{n}}$, and this defines a bijection between T_n^0 and $\mathrm{Seq}(n)$. For $\tau \in T_n^d$ let

$$\Sigma_\tau = \{\sigma \in \Sigma_n : \ f^\tau \sigma = f^\tau\}. \tag{7.9}$$

Let us enlist some properties of functions f^τ:

Proposition 7.10.

(a) If $\tau, \psi \in T_n^0$, then $f^\tau \preceq f^\psi$ if and only if $\tau(i) \geq \psi(i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.
(b) $f^\tau \sigma \preceq f^\psi \varphi$ if and only if $f^\tau \preceq f^\psi$ and $\sigma \phi^{-1} \in \Sigma_\psi$.
(c) Every element of O_n^{Π} has the form $f^\tau \sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, $\tau \in T_n^0$.

Proof. To prove (a), assume that $\tau(i) \geq \psi(i)$ for $\tau, \psi \in T^0_n$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Clearly $\tau(i) = 0$ implies that $\psi(i) = 0$; thus, if $\tau(i) = \tau(j)$, then $\psi(i) = \psi(j)$. As a consequence, the formula $h(k) = (f^\psi \circ (f^\tau)^{-1})(k)$ defines a non-decreasing surjection such that $f^\psi = h \circ f^\tau$. On the other hand, if $\tau(i) = 0$ and $\psi(i) = 1$, then $f^\psi(i) \neq f^\psi(i+1)$ and $f^\tau(i) = f^\tau(i+1)$; therefore, f^ψ cannot be written as a composition $h \circ f^\tau$.

If $f^\tau \preceq f^\psi$ and $\sigma\phi^{-1} \in \Sigma_\psi$, then

$$f^\psi \varphi = f^\psi \sigma \varphi^{-1} \varphi = f^\psi \sigma = h f^\tau \sigma,$$

where h is a function such that $f^\psi = h f^\tau$. If $f^\psi \varphi = h f^\tau \sigma$, then $f^\psi \varphi \sigma^{-1} = h f^\tau$ is a non-decreasing function. Hence $\varphi\sigma^{-1} \in \Sigma_\psi$ and $f^\psi = h f^\tau$, which implies (b). The point (c) is clear. □

Let us introduce the following maps between integers:

$$\mathsf{d}_k(i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{for } i < k \\ i+1 & \text{for } i \geq k \end{cases} \qquad \mathsf{s}_k(i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{for } i \leq k \\ i-1 & \text{for } i > k \end{cases}$$

$$\mathsf{p}_k(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \leq k \\ 1 & \text{for } i > k \end{cases} \qquad \mathsf{t}_k(i) = \begin{cases} k & \text{for } i = k+1 \\ k+1 & \text{for } i = k \\ i & \text{for } i \neq k, k+1. \end{cases}$$

futhermore, for $r \leq s$ denote $\mathsf{t}^s_r = \mathsf{t}_r \mathsf{t}_{r+1} \dots \mathsf{t}_{s-1}$; clearly $\mathsf{t}^s_r(i) = i$ for $i < r$ or $i > s$, $\mathsf{t}^s_r(s) = r$, and $\mathsf{t}^s_r(i) = i+1$ for $r \geq i < s$.

Every element $\tau \in T^d_n$ determines a sequence $(\mathsf{p}_0 \circ \tau, \dots, \mathsf{p}_d \circ \tau)$ of $d+1$ elements of T^n_0. Thus, for $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ and $\tau \in T^d_n$, we can define a d–simplex in O^Π_n:

$$a[\sigma, \tau] := (f^{\mathsf{p}_0 \tau} \sigma, f^{\mathsf{p}_1 \tau} \sigma, \dots, f^{\mathsf{p}_d \tau} \sigma). \tag{7.11}$$

Notice that 7.10.(b) implies that $f^{\mathsf{p}_0\tau}\sigma \preceq f^{\mathsf{p}_1\tau}\sigma \preceq \dots \preceq f^{\mathsf{p}_d\tau}\sigma$.

Proposition 7.12. *For $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, $\tau \in T^d_n$ we have*

(a) $\partial_i(a[\sigma, \tau]) = a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_i\tau]$, where ∂_i denotes the simplex with i–th vertex skipped, $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$,
(b) $a[\sigma, \tau] = a[\varphi, \psi]$ if and only if $\tau = \psi$ and $\sigma\varphi^{-1} \in \Sigma_\tau$.

Proof. This follows immediately from 7.10 and the definition. □

For $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$ define

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{n}} := \{\sigma \in \Sigma_n : \ f_{\mathbf{n}} \circ \sigma = f_{\mathbf{n}}\}, \tag{7.13}$$

$$T^d_{\mathbf{n}} := \{\tau \in T^d_n : \ \forall_{k=1,\dots,l(\mathbf{n})-1} \ \tau(b_k(\mathbf{n})) = d+1\}. \tag{7.14}$$

Notice that $T^0_{\mathbf{n}} = \{\tau \in T^0_n : \ f^\tau \preceq f_{\mathbf{n}}\}$, and $T^d_{\mathbf{n}} = \{\tau \in T^d_n : \ \mathsf{p}_d\tau \in T^0_{\mathbf{n}}\}$.

Proposition 7.15. *Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, $\tau \in T^d_n$. Then $a[\sigma, \tau]$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{N}O^\Pi_{\mathbf{n}}$ if and only if $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\tau \in T^d_{\mathbf{n}}$.*

Proof. Clearly $a[\sigma, \tau]$ is a simplex of $\mathcal{N}O_{\mathbf{n}}$ if and only if $f^{\mathsf{p}_d\tau}\sigma \preceq f_{\mathbf{n}} = f^{\tau_{\mathbf{n}}}$. By 7.10 this holds if and only if $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\mathsf{p}_d\tau \preceq \tau_{\mathbf{n}}$. □

For $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ let $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \in \{\pm 1\}$ be the sign of permutation. For $\mathbf{n} \in \operatorname{Seq}(n)$ and $\tau \in T_n^{n-l}$, the image of τ contains $\{1, \dots, n-l\}$, and $\tau(b_j) = n-l$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. Then the restriction

$$\tau : \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_{l-1}\} \to \{1, \dots, n-l\}$$

is a bijection. Let $\varrho_{\mathbf{n}} : \{1, \dots, n-l\} \to \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_{l-1}\}$ be the (unique) increasing bijection. The composition $\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a permutation on $n-l$ letters, and we define *the sign* of $\tau \in T_{\mathbf{n}}^{n-l}$ as

$$\operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) = \operatorname{sgn}(\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}}). \tag{7.16}$$

Define a chain $g_{\mathbf{n}} \in C_{n-l}(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi})$ by

$$g_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \sum_{\tau \in T_{\mathbf{n}}^{n-l}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \tau]. \tag{7.17}$$

We will show that $g_{\mathbf{n}}$ represents a fundamental class in $H_{n-l}(|O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}|, |\partial O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}|)$ (cf. 7.7).

Proposition 7.18. *Let $\partial : C_{n-l}(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}) \to C_{n-l-1}(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi})$ be the differential of simplicial homological chain complex. Then*

$$\partial(g_{\mathbf{n}}) = (-1)^{n-l} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \sum_{\tau \in T_{\mathbf{n}}^{n-l(\mathbf{n})}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau].$$

As a consequence, $g_{\mathbf{n}}$ represents a generator of $H^{n-l(\mathbf{n})}(\mathcal{N}(O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}), \mathcal{N}(\partial O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}))$.

Proof. We have

$$\partial(g_{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \sum_{\tau \in T_{\mathbf{n}}^{n-l}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} (-1)^j \partial_j(a[\sigma, \tau]) =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma,\tau} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} (-1)^j \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_j\tau] = \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_0\tau] +$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-l-1} (-1)^j \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_j\tau] + (-1)^{n-l} \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau].$$

For the first summand, we have $a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_0\tau] = a[\mathsf{t}_{\tau^{-1}(1)}\sigma, \mathsf{s}_0\tau]$, since $\mathsf{t}_{\tau^{-1}(1)}\sigma\sigma^{-1} = \mathsf{t}_{\tau^{-1}(1)} \in \Sigma_{\mathsf{s}_0\tau}$, therefore the first summand equals

$$\sum_{\tau} \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) \sum_{\sigma:\, \sigma(\tau^{-1}(1)) < \sigma(\tau^{-1}(1)+1)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_0\tau] + \operatorname{sgn}(\mathsf{t}_{\tau^{-1}(1)}\sigma) a[\mathsf{t}_{(\tau^{-1}(1))}\sigma, \mathsf{s}_0\tau] = 0.$$

For the second summand, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-l-1\}$, we have $\mathsf{s}_j\tau = \mathsf{s}_j\mathsf{t}_j\tau$, therefore

$$\sum_{\sigma,\tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_j\tau] =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\tau:\, \tau^{-1}(j) < \tau^{-1}(j+1)} \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_j\tau] + \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathsf{t}_j\tau) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_j\mathsf{t}_j\tau] = 0.$$

Eventually, only the third summand remains. The maximal element of $O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}$, namely $f_{\mathbf{n}}$, does not appear as the vertex of $a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau]$. Thus, $\partial(g_{\mathbf{n}}) \in C_{n-l-1}(\mathcal{N}(\partial O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}))$ and $g_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a cycle, regarded as an element of $C_{n-l}(\mathcal{N}(O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}), \mathcal{N}(\partial O_{\mathbf{n}}^{\Pi}))$. Since the coefficients of $g_{\mathbf{n}}$ on all simplices are ± 1, it represents the generator in the homology group. □

Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, n_k - 1\}$ denote

$$\mathbf{n}[k, r] := (n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}, r, n_k - r, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_l) \in \mathrm{Seq}(n). \tag{7.19}$$

Lemma 7.20. *For each $\tau \in T^{n-l}_{\mathbf{n}}$, there exists a unique pair of integers $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, n_k - 1\}$ such that $\tau(b_{k-1} + r) = n - l$, i.e., there is a bijection*

$$T^{n-l}_{\mathbf{n}} \ni \tau \mapsto \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau \in \coprod_{k=1}^{l} \coprod_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} T^{n-l-1}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau \in T^{n-l-1}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}$, then

$$\mathrm{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau) = (-1)^{n-l-b_{k-1}-r+k-1} \mathrm{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau).$$

Proof. The existence of k and r, as well as the fact that $\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau \in T^{n-l-1}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}$, follows immediately from the definitions. It remains to prove that the signs of permutations $\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]} \in \Sigma_{n-l-1}$ and $\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}} \in \Sigma_{n-l}$ (cf. 7.16) differ by $(-1)^{n-l-b_{k-1}-r+k-1}$. Denote $v = b_{k-1} - (k-1) + r$; clearly

$$\varrho_{\mathbf{n}}(j) = \begin{cases} \varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(j) & \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, v-1\}, \\ b_{k-1} + r & \text{for } j = v, \\ \varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(j-1) & \text{for } j \in \{v+1, \dots, n-l\}. \end{cases}$$

since $\mathrm{im}(\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}) \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-l-1\}$, we obtain

$$\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}}(j) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(j) & \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, v-1\} \\ \tau(b_{k-1} + r) = n - l & \text{for } j = v \\ \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(j-1) & \text{for } j \in \{v+1, \dots, n-l\}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}}(j) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}\mathsf{t}^{n-l}_{v}(j) & \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, n-l-1\} \\ n - l & \text{for } j = n-l, \end{cases}$$

The permutations $\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}} \in \Sigma_{n-l}$ and $\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}\mathsf{t}^{n-l}_{v} \in \Sigma_{n-l-1}$ have equal signs. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) = \mathrm{sgn}(\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}}) = \mathrm{sgn}(\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}\mathsf{t}^{n-l}_{v}) = (-1)^{n-l-v} \mathrm{sgn}(\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau\varrho_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}) = \\ (-1)^{n-l-v} \mathrm{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau) = (-1)^{n-l-b_{k-1}-r+k-1} \mathrm{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(\mathsf{s}_{n-l}\tau). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, n_k - 1\}$, let

$$S_{\mathbf{n}}(k, r) := \{A \subseteq f^{-1}_{\mathbf{n}}(k) = \{b_{k-1} + 1, \dots, b_k\} : \ |A| = r\}. \tag{7.21}$$

For $A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k, r)$, let $\xi_{k,A} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ be the permutation determined by the following conditions:

- $\xi_{k,A}(i) = i$ for $f_{\mathbf{n}}(i) \neq k$,
- $\xi_{k,A}|_A : A \to \{b_{k-1} + 1, \dots, b_{k-1} + r\}$ be strictly increasing,
- $\xi_{k,A}|_{f^{-1}_{\mathbf{n}}(k) \setminus A} : f^{-1}_{\mathbf{n}}(k) \setminus A \to \{b_{k-1} + r + 1, \dots, b_k\}$ be strictly increasing.

Proposition 7.22. *Assume that $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$, $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, b_k - 1\}$ and $A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k, r)$. Then $\mathrm{sgn}(\xi_{k,A}) = \mathrm{sgn}(A - b_{k-1})$, where $A - b_{k-1} := \{i - b_k : \ i \in A\}$.*

Proof. Assume that $A = (a_1 < \cdots < a_r)$. We have

$$\xi_{k,A} = \mathsf{t}^{a_r}_{b_{k-1}+r} \dots \mathsf{t}^{a_2}_{b_{k-1}+2} \mathsf{t}^{a_1}_{b_{k-1}+1},$$

then $\operatorname{sgn}(\xi_{k,A})$ equals -1 to the power

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{r} (b_{k-1} + j) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (a_j - b_{k-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{r} j,$$

which coincides with the definition given in the introduction. □

Lemma 7.23. *For every* $\mathbf{n} \in \operatorname{Seq}(n)$, $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, n_k - 1\}$ *the function*

$$S_{\mathbf{n}}(k, r) \times \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]} \ni (A, \omega) \mapsto \omega \xi_{k,A} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$$

is a bijection.

Proof. For arbitrary $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$, let $A = \sigma^{-1}(\{b_{k-1} + 1, \dots, b_{k-1} + r\})$. Then $\sigma \xi^{-1}_{k,A} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}$, and the inverse function is given by $\sigma \mapsto (A, \sigma \xi^{-1}_{k,A})$. □

Every permutation $\xi \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ induces an automorphism $\sigma^* : O_{\mathbf{n}} \to O_{\mathbf{n}}$ by the formula $\sigma^*(f) = f\sigma$; notice that $\xi_* a[\sigma, \tau] = a[\sigma\xi, \tau]$. Define an inclusion $\iota_{k,A}$ as a composition $O^{\Pi}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]} \subseteq O^{\Pi}_{\mathbf{n}} \xrightarrow{(\xi_{k,A})^*} O^{\Pi}_{\mathbf{n}}$.

Proposition 7.24. *For* $\mathbf{n} \in \operatorname{Seq}(n)$ *we have*

$$\partial g_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)} (-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1} \operatorname{sgn}(A - b_{k-1}) (\iota_{k,A})_* (g_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}).$$

Proof. By 7.18 we have

$$\partial g_{\mathbf{n}} = (-1)^{n-l} \sum_{\tau \in T^{n-l}_{\mathbf{n}}} \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a[\sigma, \mathsf{s}_{n-l} \tau].$$

Then, by Lemma 7.20

$$\partial g_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{\psi \in T^{n-l-1}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}} (-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1} \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(\psi) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a[\sigma, \psi].$$

Finally, using 7.22 and 7.23, we obtain

$$\partial g_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{\psi \in T^{n-l-1}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}} (-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1} \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(\psi) \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}} \sum_{A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)} \operatorname{sgn}(\omega \xi_{k,A}) a[\omega \xi_{k,A}, \psi]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)} (-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\xi_{k,A}) \sum_{\psi \in T^{n-l-1}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}} \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}} \operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}(\psi) \operatorname{sgn}(\omega) (\iota_{k,A})_* a[\omega, \psi]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)} (-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1} \operatorname{sgn}(A - b_{k-1}) (\iota_{k,A})_* (g_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]}). \quad \square$$

8. CW-decomposition

Throughout the whole Section, K is a finite proper $\square$-set with height function h, and $v, w \in K[0]$ its vertices such that $h(v) = 0$, $h(w) = n$. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a function

$$\mathsf{u} : \mathbb{Z} \ni i \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i > s \\ 1 & \text{for } i < s \\ * & \text{for } i = s. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 8.1. *For every $c \in K[n]$, a map*

$$I_c : O_n \ni f \mapsto (d_{\mathsf{u}_1 f}(c), d_{\mathsf{u}_2 f}(c), \dots, d_{\mathsf{u}_{k(f)} f}(c)) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{\leq (c)}(K),$$

is an isomorphism of posets.

Proof. We will construct an inverse of I_c. For an arbitrary $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_l) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{\leq (c)}(K)$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, there exists a unique, since K is proper, presentation $b_k = d_{A_k, B_k}(c)$ where A_k and B_k are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For $X \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ denote $\bar{X} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus X$. We have $B_1 = \emptyset$ (since $d^0(b_1) = d^0(c)$), $A_l = \emptyset$ (since $d^1(b_l) = d^1(c)$), $B_{k+1} = \bar{A}_k$ (since $d^0(b_{k+1}) = d^1(b_k)$), and $A_k \cup B_k \neq \{1, \dots, n\}$ (since $\dim(b_k) > 0$). Therefore, we have a strictly increasing sequence

$$\emptyset = B_1 \subsetneq \bar{A}_1 = B_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \bar{A}_{l-1} = B_l \subsetneq \bar{A}_l = \{1, \dots, n\},$$

which defines a unique function $J_c(\mathbf{b}) : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $i \in \bar{A}_{f(i)} \setminus B_{f(i)}$. Clearly, J_c is an inverse map of I_c. $\square$

For $v, w \in K[0]$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$, define a map

$$I_{\mathbf{c}} : O_{\mathbf{n}} \ni f \mapsto I_{c_1}(f^1) * \cdots * I_{c_l}(f^l) \in \mathrm{Ch}_{\leq \mathbf{c}}(K), \tag{8.2}$$

where f^i are defined as in (7.5).

Proposition 8.3. *For every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$, $I_{\mathbf{c}}$ is an isomorphism of posets.*

Proof. Every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathrm{Ch}_{\leq \mathbf{c}}(K)$ has a unique presentation as $\mathbf{b}^1 * \cdots * \mathbf{b}^l$, where $\mathbf{b}^k \in \mathrm{Ch}_{\leq (c_k)}(K)$. Define

$$J_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{b}) = (J_{c_1}(\mathbf{b}^1), \dots, J_{c_l}(\mathbf{b}^l)) \in O_{n_1} \times \cdots \times O_{n_l} \simeq O_{\mathbf{n}},$$

where J_{c_k} is the map from the proof of 8.1; $J_{\mathbf{c}}$ is the inverse of $I_{\mathbf{c}}$. $\square$

Proposition 8.4. *Theorem 1.8 holds for finite proper bi-pointed $\square$–sets with height function.*

Proof. By 8.3 and 7.7, for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)$ the space $|\mathrm{Ch}_{<\mathbf{c}}(K)^w_v|$ is homeomorphic to $S^{\dim(\mathbf{c})-1}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$, augmented with a minimal element $\emptyset$, is a CW poset in the sense of [1]. Thus, by [1, 3.1], $|\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v|$ is a regular CW–complex with cells

$$A_{\mathbf{c}} := |\mathrm{Ch}_{\leq \mathbf{c}}(K)^w_v| \setminus |\mathrm{Ch}_{<\mathbf{c}}(K)^w_v|$$

for $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$. Every $\square$–map $f : K \to K'$ induces a morphism of posets $\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v \to \mathrm{Ch}(K')^{f(w)}_{f(v)}$, which maps $\mathrm{Ch}_{\leq \mathbf{c}}(K)^w_v$ into $\mathrm{Ch}_{\leq f(\mathbf{c})}(K)^{f(w)}_{f(v)}$; therefore, $f_* : |\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v| \to |\mathrm{Ch}(K')^{f(w)}_{f(v)}|$ is cellular. $\square$

Let $\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq n}(K)^w_v \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$ be the subset of cube chains having dimension less of equal n; clearly $|\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq n}(K)^w_v|$ is the n–skeleton of $|\mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v|$. For a chain $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$ of type $\mathbf{n}$ and dimension n let

$$g_{\mathbf{c}} := (I_{\mathbf{c}})_*(g_{\mathbf{n}}) \in C_n(|\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq n}(K)^w_v|, |\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq n-1}(K)^w_v|). \tag{8.5}$$

The group $H_n(|\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq n}(K)^w_v|, |\mathrm{Ch}^{\leq n-1}(K)^w_v|)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by (simplicial, homological) chains $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}^{=n}(K)^w_v$.

Proposition 8.6. *Assume that* $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{Seq}(n)$, $k \in \{1, \ldots, l = l(\mathbf{n})\}$, $r \in \{1, \ldots, n_k - 1\}$, *and* $A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k, r)$. *Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]} & \xrightarrow{I_{d_{k,(A-b_{k-1})}(\mathbf{c})}} & \mathrm{Ch}(K) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{k,A}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} \\ O_{\mathbf{n}} & \xrightarrow{I_{\mathbf{c}}} & \mathrm{Ch}(K) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove this in the case when $\mathbf{n} = (n)$, $\mathbf{c} = (c)$ and $k = 1$. Let $f : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, m\}$ be an element of $O_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]} = O_{(r,n-r)}$. There exists a presentation $m = m_1 + m_2$ such that $f(i) \leq m_1$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $f(i) > m_1$ for $i \in \{r+1, \ldots, n\}$. Furthermore,

$$f^1 : \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \{1, \ldots, m_1\}$$

is a restriction of f, and f_2 is the composition

$$\{1, \ldots, n-r\} \xrightarrow{i \mapsto i+r} \{r+1, \ldots, n\} \xrightarrow{i \mapsto f(i)} \{m_1+1, \ldots, m_2\} \xrightarrow{i \mapsto i-m_1} \{1, \ldots, m_2\}.$$

We will write ξ instead of $\xi_{1,A}$. We have

$$I_{(c)}(\iota_{1,A}(f)) = I_c(f\xi) = (d_{\mathsf{u}_1 f\xi}(c), \ldots, d_{\mathsf{u}_m f\xi}(c))$$

and

$$I_{d_{1,A}((c))}(f) = I_{(d^0_{\bar{A}}(c), d^1_A(c))}(f) = I_{d^0_{\bar{A}}(c)}(f^1) * I_{d^1_A(c)}(f^2) = \\ (d_{\mathsf{u}_1 f^1} d^0_{\bar{A}}(c), \ldots, d_{\mathsf{u}_{m_1} f^1} d^0_{\bar{A}}(c), d_{\mathsf{u}_1 f^2} d^1_A(c), \ldots, d_{\mathsf{u}_{m_2} f^2} d^1_A(c))$$

Since $\xi|_A$ is an increasing bijection $A \to \{1, \ldots, r\}$, for $s \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ we have (cf. 1.2) $d_{\mathsf{u}_s f^1} d^0_{\bar{A}}(c) = d_g$, where $g|_{\bar{A}} = 0 = \mathsf{u}_s f\xi$, and $g|_A = f^1\xi = f\xi$. Hence, $g = \mathsf{u}_s f\xi$. The similar argument applies for $s \in \{r+1, \ldots, n\}$. □

Proposition 8.7. *Let* $\mathbf{c} \in \mathrm{Ch}(K)^w_v$ *be a cube chain of type* $\mathbf{n}$. *Then*

$$\partial(g_{\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{l(\mathbf{n})} \sum_{r=1}^{n_k - 1} \sum_{A \in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)} (-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1} \operatorname{sgn}(A - b_{k-1}) g_{d_{k,A-b_{k-1}}(\mathbf{c})}$$

Proof. We will write $A' = A - b_{k-1}$. By 8.6 and 7.24, we have

$$\partial(g_{\mathbf{c}}) = \partial(I_{\mathbf{c}}(g_{\mathbf{n}})) = I_{\mathbf{c}}(\partial g_{\mathbf{n}}) \overset{(7.24)}{=} I_{\mathbf{c}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{l}\sum_{r=1}^{n_k-1}\sum_{A\in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)}(-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1}\operatorname{sgn}(A')\iota_{k,A}(g_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l}\sum_{r=1}^{n_k-1}\sum_{A\in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)}(-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1}\operatorname{sgn}(A')I_{d_{k,A'}(\mathbf{c})}(g_{\mathbf{n}[k,r]})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l}\sum_{r=1}^{n_k-1}\sum_{A\in S_{\mathbf{n}}(k,r)}(-1)^{k+r+b_{k-1}+1}\operatorname{sgn}(A')g_{d_{k,A'}(\mathbf{c})}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 8.8. *Theorem 1.10 holds for finite proper bi-pointed $\square$–sets with height function.*

Proof. By 1.8, $H_n(|\operatorname{Ch}^{\leq m}(K)^w_v|, |\operatorname{Ch}^{\leq m-1}(K)^w_v|)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by (simplicial, homological) chains $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \operatorname{Ch}^{=m}(K)^w_v$, and the differentials are calculated in 8.7. $\square$

9. Non-looping length covering

In this Section we generalize the results obtained for finite proper $\square$–sets with height function to a larger class of $\square$–sets that have proper non-looping length coverings. Recall that $\mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$ is the category of finite bi-pointed $\square$–sets having the proper non-looping covering.

For $K \in \mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$ and $n \geq 0$ define a $\square$–subset $\tilde{K}_n \subseteq \tilde{K}$ by $\tilde{K}_n[d] = K[d] \times \{0, \dots, n-d\}$ (cf. 1.4). For every n, the formula $(K, v, w) \mapsto (\tilde{K}_n, (v, 0), (w, n))$ defines a functor from $\mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$ to $\mathbf{fph}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$.

Let $p : \tilde{K} \ni (c, k) \mapsto c \in K$ denote the obvious projection, and let $p_n = p|_{\tilde{K}_n}$.

Proposition 9.1. *Assume that $(K, v, w) \in \mathbf{pc}\square\mathbf{Set}^*_*$. All maps in the sequence*

$$\coprod_{n\geq 0}\vec{N}(\tilde{K}_n)^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)} \subseteq \coprod_{n\geq 0}\vec{P}(\tilde{K}_n)^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)} \subseteq \coprod_{n\geq 0}\vec{P}(\tilde{K})^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)} \xrightarrow{\coprod p_*} \vec{P}(K)^w_v$$

are homotopy equivalences, and they are functorial with respect to (K, v, w).

Proof. For the right-hand map it follows [8, Proposition 5.3] and for the left-hand one from [6, 2.5]. Every directed path in $\vec{K}$ with endpoints in $\vec{K}_n$ lies in $\vec{K}_n$ so the middle inclusion is a homeomorphism. $\square$

This criterion shows that, when proving Theorem 1.7, we can restrict to the case when K if a finite proper $\square$-set with height function.

Proposition 9.2. *For an arbitrary $\square$-set K and $v, w \in K[0]$ the sequence of $\square$–maps $\tilde{K}_n \subseteq \tilde{K} \xrightarrow{pr} K$ induces morphism of posets*

$$\coprod_{n\geq 0}\operatorname{Ch}(\tilde{K}_n)^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \coprod_{n\geq 0}\operatorname{Ch}(\tilde{K})^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v,$$

which are isomorphisms.

Proof. The formula $\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v \ni (c_i)_{i=1}^l \mapsto ((c_i, \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\dim(c_j))$ defines the inverse function and all the maps involved commute with $d_{K,A}$. $\square$

Proof of 1.7. If K is a $\square$–set with the proper non-looping covering, then, for $v, w \in K[0]$, there is a sequence of homotopy equivalences

$$\vec{P}(K)^w_v \xleftarrow{\simeq} \coprod_{n\geq 0} \vec{P}(\tilde{K}_n)^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)} \xrightarrow{\coprod \varepsilon_{\tilde{K}_n}} \coprod_{n\geq 0} |\operatorname{Ch}(\tilde{K}_n)^{(w,n)}_{(v,0)}| \xrightarrow{\simeq} |\operatorname{Ch}(K)^w_v|,$$

which follow from 9.1, 6.7 and 9.2 respectively. $\square$

Proof of 1.8 and 1.10. By 9.2, these follow from 8.4 and 8.8, respectively. $\square$

References

[1] A. Björner, *Posets, Regular CW-Complexes and Bruhat Order*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **5** (1984), 7–16.

[2] L. Fajstrup, E. Goubault, M. Raussen, *Algebraic Topology and Concurrency*, Theor. Comput. Sci. **357** (2006), 241–278.

[3] M. Grandis, *Directed homotopy theory, I. The fundamental category*, Cahiers Top. Geom. Diff. Categ. **44** (2003), 281–316.

[4] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press (2002).

[5] V. Pratt, *Modelling concurrency with geometry*, Proceedings of the 18th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (1991), 311–322.

[6] M. Raussen, *Trace spaces in a pre-cubical complex*, Topology Appl. 156 **9** (2009), 1718–1728.

[7] M. Raussen, *Simplicial models for trace spaces*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **10** (2010), 1683–1714.

[8] M. Raussen, *Simplicial models for trace spaces II. General higher dimensional automata*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **12** (2012), 1741–1761.

[9] M. Raussen, *Execution spaces for simple higher dimensional automata*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **23** (2012), 59–84.

[10] G. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **34** (1968), 105–112.

[11] G. M. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Springer-Verlag (1995).

[12] K. Ziemiański, *A cubical model for path spaces in d-simplicial complexes*, Topology Appl. 159, No. 8, 2127–2145 (2012). DOI 10.1016/j.topol.2012.02.005

[13] K.Ziemiański, *Categories of directed spaces*, Fundam. Math. 217, No. 1, 55–71 (2012). DOI 10.4064/fm217-1-5